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#### Abstract

The $1: 1$ complex formed between $2,4,6$-tri(dimethylamino)-1,3,5-triazine and $s$-trinitrobenzene crystallizes as yellow monoclinic needles, space group $P 2_{1} / m$, with two molecules of complex in the unit cell of dimensions $a=6.72 \pm 0.02, b=16.06 \pm 0.03, c=9.59 \pm 0.02 \AA, \beta=105.2^{\circ} \pm 0.5^{\circ}$. The structure was solved by Patterson methods and refined by three-dimensional Fourier and least-square methods. The component molecules are stacked alternately in infinite columns along the $a$ (needle) axis, the mean perpendicular separation of the molecules being $3 \cdot 36 \AA$. Both molecules are planar to within experimental error and are similarly orientated with respect to the crystal axes. The line joining the molecular centres is perpendicular to the planes of the molecules.


## Introduction

The $1: 1$ molecular complex formed between $1,3,5-$ trinitrobenzene and 2,4,6-tri-(dimethylamino)-1,3,5triazine was initially described by Das, Shaw, Smith, Last \& Wells (1963). The molecules have similar shapes and dimensions but the nitro-groups in one are electron withdrawing whereas the dimethylamino groups in the other are electron donating. It was of interest to discover whether the combination of the charge distribution within the molecules, resulting from the electron affinities of the substituent groups, and the very favourable conditions existing for molecular $\pi$-orbital overlap (e.g. Wallwork, 1961) would be sufficient to cause the molecules to lie directly over one another in an infinite stack.

## Experimental

Yellow needles of the complex, large enough for X-ray analysis, were grown by recrystallization from chloroform of a sample of the complex kindly supplied to us by Dr B.C.Smith of Birkbeck College, London. In plane polarized light the crystals exhibited dichroism (with colours ranging from yellow-green to ochre) with the maximum absorption of light when the electric vector was parallel to the needle axis.
X-ray photographs were taken by the multiple-film Weissenberg technique for the $0 k l, 1 k l \cdots 4 k l$ and $h 0 l$ zones of reflexions. The intensities of the $0 k l, 1 k l$ and 2 kl reflexions were estimated by a microphotometer method (Wallwork \& Standley, 1954) and the 3 kl and $4 k l$ reflexions were estimated visually. The usual Lorentz and polarization factors were applied to the intensities but no corrections for absorption were applied. 593 independent non-zero reflexions were measured corresponding to about a quarter of those in the copper sphere.
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## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{3} . \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}, M=465 \cdot 4$. Monoclinic, $a=6 \cdot 72 \pm 0 \cdot 02, b=16 \cdot 06 \pm 0 \cdot 03, c=9 \cdot 59 \pm 0 \cdot 02 \AA, \beta=$ $105 \cdot 2^{\circ} \pm 0 \cdot 5^{\circ}, \quad U=998 \cdot 9 \bar{\AA}^{3}, \quad D_{m}=1 \cdot 40 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3}, \quad Z=2$, $D_{c}=1 \cdot 41 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm}^{-3} . F(000)=444 . \mathrm{Cu} K \alpha, \lambda=1 \cdot 542 \AA, \mu=$ $10.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Absent spectra, $0 k 0$ when $k$ odd. Space group $P 2_{1}$ (No.4) or $P 2_{1} / m($ No.11). Statistical tests (Howells, Phillips \& Rogers, 1950) indicated $P 2_{1} / m$ and this is confirmed by refinement of the structure.

## Determination and refinement of the structure

Examination of the X-ray data revealed that the 1 kl and 3 kl zones of reflexions were very much weaker than the $0 k l, 2 k l$ or $4 k l$ zones. This fact, together with the dichroism, the $a$ cell dimension of $6.72 \AA$ and the symmetry requirements of the probable space group, suggested a structure in which the component molecules were both approximately perpendicular to the $a$ axis and stacked alternately, with a separation of $a / 2$, in columns parallel to this axis.

The molecular orientations round the $a$ axis were deduced by comparison of the 0 kl weighted reciprocal lattice with the optical transform of a pattern which approximately represented either molecule. The $a$ and $c$ axis Patterson projections were interpreted in terms of a trial structure based on all these indications. The $y$ and $z$ coordinates of the patterns were partially refined by successive structure factor calculations and Fourier 0 kl electron density projections to a stage where $R=\Sigma| | F_{o}\left|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|F_{o}\right|=0.24$.

The structure was further refined from the $h k l$ data, by the block-diagonal structure factor least-squares method using a program written by Dr R.D.Diamand. The weighting scheme used throughout was $V w=1$ if $\left|F_{o}\right|<F^{*}$ otherwise $\sqrt{ } / w=F^{*} /\left|F_{o}\right|$. Hydrogen atoms were not included in the calculations and the scattering factors of Berghuis, Haanappel, Potters, Loopstra, Mac Gillavry \& Veenendaal (1955) for carbon, nitrogen and

Table 1. Comparison of observed and final calculated structure factors
The asterisk line gives $h, k$; subsequent lines give $l, 50 F_{o}, 50 F_{c}$.


Table 1 (cont.)


Table 1 (cont.)

| $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ -\quad 8 \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{C}}{-281}$ | -283 | 6 | 225 | 268 | 4 | 618 | 256 | 0 | 1124 | 1253 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 883 | 1023 | -2 | -208) | -2657 | -3 | -875 | -747 | -4 | 450 | 368 |
| -5 | 1108 | 890 | -6 | -1710 | -1362 | -10 | 329 | 447 |  |  |  |
| - 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | -257 | -238 | 3 | 1060 | 987 | 2 | -265 | -249 | 1 | -755 | -804 |
| -2 | -957 | -1189 | -3 | -1268 | -925 | -4 | 185 | 302 | -5 | 827 | 648 |
| -6 | -329 | -299 | -7 | -337 | -287 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 456 | 450 | 2 | -257 | -264 | 0 | 706 | 705 | -1 | -843 | -647 |
| -2 | -112 | -187 | -3 | 153 | 98 | -4 | 3.37 | 318 | -5 | -1076 | -892 |
| -6 | 596 | 400 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | -255 | -230 | 4 | 177 | 192 | 3 | -169 | -153 | 2 | 209 | 134 |
| 5 | 939 | 775 | -2 | 1597 | 1127 | -3 | -578 | -532 | -4 | -120 | -132 |
| -7 | -433 | -436 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | -265 | -238 | 4 | -185 | -115 | 2 | -490 | -464 | 0 | -530 | -407 |
| -1 | 313 | 347 | -2 | 458 | 311 | -3 | 8 A | 66 | -4 | 787 | 686 |
| -7 | 353 | 4.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 4 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | -321 | -367 | 5 | 265 | 315 | 1 | -450 | -377 | 0 | -169 | -121 |
| -1 | 151 | 210 | -2 | 123 | 172 | -3 | -169 | $-179$ | -4 | -586 | -583 |
| -5 | 233 | 244 | -7 | 353 | 393 | -8 | -682 | . 801 | -9 | 361 | 387 |
| - 4 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 257 | 331 | 5 | -626 | -661 | 2 | 554 | 555 | 1 | -1300 | -1150 |
| -1 | 225 | 283 | -3 | 1292 | 1054 | -4 | -506 | -538 | -7 | 3.1 | 389 |
| -9 | -313 | -358 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 4 | ${ }^{7} 578$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 578 -939 | 678 | 5 | -233 | -255 | -2 | -385 | -390 | 2 | 450 -578 | 356 -471 |
| $?$ | -939 | -811 | -1 | 153 | 127 | -2 | -642 | -689 | -3 | -578 | -471 |
| -4 | 1034 | 1005 | -6 | -546 | -624 | -7 | -385 | . 463 | -8 | 11 ?2 | 137 A |
| -9 | -299 | -161 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | -291 | -237 | 5 | 562 | 559 | 3 | -185 | -250 | 2 | -361 | -347 |
| 1 | 875 | 845 | -3 | -730 | -644 | -4 | 297 | 292 | -7 | -377 | -433 |
| -9 | 221 | 259 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }^{9} 209$ | 272 | -4 | -225 | -57 | -6 | 265 | 101 | -8 | -281 | -442 |
| - 4 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 136 | 117 | 3 | 257 | 300 | -2 | -120 | -212 |  |  |  |
| - 4 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 136 | 152 | 0 | 345 | 290 | -2 | 450 | 510 | $-3$ | -233 | -243 |
| - $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ -2\end{array}$ | ${ }_{12}^{12} \mathbf{2 6 5}$ | -245 | -5 | 265 | 384 | -6 | -120 | -131 |  |  |  |
| - 4 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | -136 | -174 | -1 | 947 | 1026 | -2 | -233 | -316 | -3 | -265 | -296 |
| -5 | 177 | 165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 4 | 14.451 | -418 | -1 | -120 | -172 | -2 | 634 | 677 | -6 | 209 | 388 |
| - 4 | $15^{-461}$ | -418 | -1 | -120 |  |  |  |  |  | 208 | 388 |
| -1 | -498 | -588 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ 2\end{array}$ | ${ }^{0} 361$ | 342 | 0 | -393 | . 468 | -1 | -859 | .610 | -2 | 1840 | 1754 |
| -4 | -499 | -596 | -6 | 659 | 676 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -2 | -578 | -594 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Final atomic parameters
Each positional parameter and its e.s.d. on the line below is $\times 10^{4}$
$s$-Trinitrobenzene $\quad s$-Tridimethylaminotriazine

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $B$ | Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $B$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 2382 | 2500 | 2081 | $2 \cdot 1 \AA^{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}(3)$ | 7550 | 2500 | 2216 | $5 \cdot 5 \AA^{2}$ |
|  | 28 | - | 16 |  | 32 | - | 16 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 2739 | 1821 | 2859 | $4 \cdot 0$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 7586 | 1818 | 2947 | $5 \cdot 1$ |
|  | 28 | 8 | 13 |  | 26 | 9 | 14 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 3110 | 1737 | 4343 | $2 \cdot 8$ | $\mathrm{~N}(4)$ | 8074 | 1766 | 4433 | $6 \cdot 1$ |
|  | 22 | 8 | 13 |  | 24 | 9 | 14 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 3405 | 2500 | 4989 | $5 \cdot 3$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 8224 | 2500 | 5031 | $3 \cdot 1$ |
|  | 38 | - | 20 |  | 32 | - | 18 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)$ | 2415 | 1005 | 2087 | $4 \cdot 1$ | $\mathrm{~N}(5)$ | 7337 | 1066 | 2154 | $7 \cdot 3$ |
|  | 20 | 8 | 12 |  |  | 98 | 9 | 17 |  |
| $\mathrm{~N}(2)$ | 3672 | 2500 | 6570 | $6 \cdot 0$ | $\mathrm{~N}(6)$ | 8727 | 2500 | 6587 | $4 \cdot 8$ |
|  | 31 | - | 19 |  | 30 | - | 19 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1916 | 1041 | 0683 | $7 \cdot 8$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 7012 | 1016 | 0663 | $7 \cdot 8$ |
|  | 23 | 9 | 12 |  | 33 | 12 | 21 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 2774 | 0379 | 2881 | $6 \cdot 8$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 7475 | 0283 | 2784 | $4 \cdot 4$ |
|  | 20 | 7 | 13 |  |  | 39 | 11 | 27 |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 4010 | 1820 | 7254 | $7 \cdot 8$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 8884 | 1703 | 7310 | $6 \cdot 4$ |

oxygen were used. The reflexions too weak to be observed were omitted from the analysis. Eight cycles of least-squares refinement using individual isotropic temperature factors and $F^{*}=1000$ (on the scale of Table 1) were followed by six cycles using individual anisotropic temperature factors and $F^{*}=600$. The final reliability index, $R$, was $0 \cdot 165$. The comparison of observed and final calculated structure factors is shown in Table 1.

Sections of the three-dimensional electron-density distribution were calculated prior to anisotropic refinement and a composite electron-density diagram is shown in Fig. 1.

The final atomic coordinates and their estimated standard deviations (derived from the least-squares normal equations block-diagonal matrix) are summarized in Table 2. The anisotropy of the thermal parameters is unlikely to have quantitative significance in view of the disorder effects discussed below, so only the equivalent isotropic parameters are quoted in Table 2. The bond distances and interbond angles, together with their standard deviations, are illustrated in Fig. 2.

A least-squares plane through each of the molecules was calculated by the modification (Blow, 1960) of the method of Schomaker, Waser, Marsh \& Bergman (1959). All of the atoms, other than hydrogen, in each molecule were used to define each plane. The pertinent parameters of the planes and the deviations of the atoms from them are summarized in Table 3. Leastsquares planes were also calculated for the central ring systems of each of the molecules and also for each group of the type $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NC}_{2}$, but the improvement in the deviations of atoms from these separate planes was not sufficient to justify the description of the molecules in this more complicated manner.

## Description of the structure and discussion

The structure consists of infinite columns of alternate donor and acceptor molecules arranged in a plane-toplane manner. The columns are parallel to the $a$ axis of the unit cell. The normal to the mean plane of the trinitrobenzene molecule is inclined at $2.8^{\circ}$ to the stacking axis $(+a)$, at $90^{\circ}$ to the $+b$ axis and at $108 \cdot 0^{\circ}$ to
the $+c$ axis. The normal to the mean plane of the tri(dimethylamino)triazine molecule is at $3.4^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$ and $108.6^{\circ}$ to the $+a,+b$ and $+c$ axes respectively. Fig. 3 is a diagrammatic representation of the bounded projection ( $y=0$ to $y=0 \cdot 25$ ) of the structure showing the principal close approaches between molecules in the same column. The mean separations of the trinitrobenzene molecule from the two tri(dimethylamino)triazine molecules adjacent to it in the column are 3.33 and $3.39 \AA$. This difference is unlikely to be significant and the mean interplanar separation may be taken as $3 \cdot 36 \AA$. Although this is not much less than the expected van der Waals separation of the molecules, there is a large number of short contacts due to the almost complete overlapping of the two molecules when the structure is viewed along the $a$ axis. The fairly wide variation in the intermolecular approaches within one column of molecules is due mainly to the apparent lack of planarity of the molecules. The deviations from planarity seem to be quite random and no larger for the nitro and dimethylamino groups than for the central atoms. The root mean square deviation is $0.04 \AA$ for each molecule (about $2 \sigma$ ) so, although the molecules may be slightly non-planar, no significance can be attached to the observed deviations, especially in view of the limitation of the X-ray data in the $\mathbf{a}^{*}$ direction to $h=4$.


Fig. 1. Composite Fourier electron density diagram, from sections nearest to atomic centres, projected along the $x$ axis, to show one layer of the structure. (Contours at $1,2,3$, ...e. $\AA^{-3}$.)

Table 3. Molecular least squares planes

Perpendicular distance from origin
Direction cosines relative to orthogonal axes

Perpendicular distance of atoms from mean plane
$s$-Trinitrobenzene $s$-Tri(dimethylamino)triazine

| $-1.20 \AA$ |  | $-4.54 \AA$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.9988 |  | -0.9982 |
| 0.0000 |  | 0.0000 |
| -0.0487 |  | -0.0608 |
| $0.03 \AA$ | $\mathrm{~N}(3)$ | $-0.1 \AA 0$ |
| -0.05 | $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 0.02 |
| 0.01 | $\mathrm{~N}(4)$ | -0.02 |
| -0.06 | $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 0.00 |
| 0.01 | $\mathrm{~N}(5)$ | 0.03 |
| 0.09 | $\mathrm{~N}(6)$ | -0.04 |
| 0.06 | $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | -0.04 |
| -0.07 | $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 0.06 |
| 0.00 | $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 0.00 |

The columns of molecules are arranged next to each other in an approximately close-packed array. This causes a trinitrobenzene molecule in one column, for example, to have six triazine neighbours in adjacent columns and also approximately in the same plane perpendicular to the $a$ axis. Each triazine molecule similarly has six trinitrobenzene neighbours. The shortest sideways contacts are $3.50 \AA$, between oxygen
atoms and methyl groups, corresponding only to van der Waals interaction. This lack of strong sideways interaction between columns is consistent with the occurrence of disorder in the structure, recognized by the appearance of diffuse scattering on the X-ray photographs. This took the form of discs in reciprocal space of constant $h$ and non-integral $k$ and $l$. Such an effect would be caused by displacing columns of molecules

(a)

(b)

Fig. 2. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and bond angles with (in parentheses) their standard deviations, for (a) $s$-trinitrobenzene, (b) 2,4,6-tri(dimethylamino)-1,3,5-triazine.
relative to each other in the $x$ direction. In view of the almost identical size and shape of the two component molecules it might be expected that such a displacement by $a / 2$ would occur fairly easily, resulting in the occupation of some of the trinitrobenzene molecule sites by tri(dimethylamino)triazine molecules, and vice versa. Such disorder readily accounts for the final value of 0.165 for the reliability index.

The agreement in bond lengths and bond angles for chemically similar bonds and environments within each molecule is only moderate but it is consistent with the estimated standard deviations. The average values in $s$-trinitrobenzene, $d(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=1.35, d(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N})=1.49 \AA, \mathrm{C} \hat{\mathrm{C}}$ $=129^{\circ}$ at $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ and $111^{\circ}$ at $\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CCN}=115^{\circ}$, $\mathrm{CNO}=117^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{O} \hat{\mathrm{NO}}=125^{\circ}$, are in reasonable agreement with the corresponding values for the same molecule of $1 \cdot 38,1 \cdot 49 \AA$, and $125,115,117,118,126^{\circ}$ found in the complex with anthracene (Brown, Wallwork \& Wilson, 1964) and $1 \cdot 38 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \cdot 48 \AA$, and 123,117 , $118,118,124^{\circ}$ in the two complexes with skatole and azulene (Hanson, 1964, 1965) but the average $d(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{O})$ of 1.27 is longer than expected and this is almost certainly due to the previously mentioned disorder effects. In the tri(dimethylamino)triazine molecule the average ring $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length of $1.33 \AA$ agrees with the average distance $1.339 \pm 0.005 \AA$ quoted for conjugated heterocyclic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds (Sutton, 1965). In $s$-triazine itself the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ distance is $1.314 \AA$ and CNC is $115.1^{\circ}$ (Wheatley, 1957) compared with an average of $113^{\circ}$ in the present structure. The average dimethylamino group dimensions, $d$ ( N -ring C$)=1 \cdot 42, d(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{Me})=1.41$ $\AA, \mathrm{CNMe}=123^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{MeNMe}=117^{\circ}$, may be compared with the corresponding values $1 \cdot 35_{8} \AA, 1 \cdot 49_{8} \AA$ and $121 \cdot 0^{\circ}, 118 \cdot 2^{\circ}$ in $N, N$-dimethyl- $p$-nitroaniline (Mak \& Trotter, 1965). These differences in the dimethylamino group dimensions are on the border of significance but are probably to be attributed as much to the disorder effects as to differences in the electronic structure between the two molecules. However the fact that the bond between the ring and the nitrogen atom is shorter in the tri(dimethylamino)triazine molecule than in the trinitrobenzene molecule is in agreement with the expected increased contribution to the resonance states of structures involving $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ in the case of the dimethylamino group.
The interesting conclusion which may be drawn from this work is that, in spite of the direct overlap and identical orientations round the stacking axis of both component molecules, so that the conditions for overlap of molecular $\pi$ orbitals are most favourable, a 'strong' complex is not formed. That is to say, the plane-to-plane separation ( $3 \cdot 36 \AA$ ) is only slightly less than the expected van der Waals separation, and even the solid complex is not highly coloured but yellow (the maximum of the charge transfer band occurs at approximately $24,800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). In this sense the complex resembles, and is intermediate between, the complexes of $s$-trinitrobenzene with naphthalene (pale yellow and
perpendicular separation $3.45 \AA$ ) and with anthracene (orange and perpendicular separation $3 \cdot 28 \AA$ ) although these two structures both have less favourable overlap of the component molecules (Wallwork, 1961). The spectroscopic properties of this type of complex clearly depend much more on the electronic properties of the components than on the efficiency with which the molecules can overlap in the structure of the complex.

We are indebted to the Director of the Manchester University Department of Computer Science for allowing computing facilities on the ATLAS computer, to Drs M.M.Harding, R.D.Diamand and J.H.Rayner for the use of their computer programs and to the Science Research Council for a maintenance grant (to R.M.W.).
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Fig.3. Edge-on view of one column of molecules showing the close approaches ( $\AA$ ) between atoms of adjacent molecules.
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